

To: CN=Karen Schwinn/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]
Cc: CN=Erin Foresman/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]
From: CN=Tom Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Wed 8/3/2011 5:08:42 PM
Subject: Re: State-Federal-Interagency Principals Meeting Agenda

Probably. Don't know. After reading that paper on the phased intakes, I'm also curious how we're supposed to analyze the project. In phases? And are they really looking at seven (7) 3000 cfs intakes?

Tom Hagler
Assistant Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 9
75 Hawthorne Street, RC-2
San Francisco, California 94105-3901
Phone: (415)972-3945

From: Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US
To: Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 08/03/2011 10:04 AM
Subject: Re: State-Federal-Interagency Principals Meeting Agenda

But don't you think it will most likely be a matter of adjusting operations to deal with water quality impacts, versus a different # of intakes (which will be phased in slowly)?

~~~~~  
KAREN SCHWINN  
Associate Director  
Water Division  
U.S. EPA Region 9  
75 Hawthorne Street (Wtr-1)  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
415/972-3472  
415/297-5509 (mobile)  
415/947-3537 (fax)  
~~~~~

From: Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US
To: Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 08/03/2011 09:56 AM

Subject: Re: State-Federal-Interagency Principals Meeting Agenda

And I am increasingly worried about the apparent goal by DOI that EPA/Corps needs to find that the preferred alternative, whenever they choose it, will be the LEDPA, regardless of the state of the effects analysis.

But we can clarify that when we start implementing the MOU.

Tom Hagler
Assistant Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 9
75 Hawthorne Street, RC-2
San Francisco, California 94105-3901
Phone: (415)972-3945

From: Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US
To: Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Tim Vendlinski/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Sam Ziegler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Carolyn Yale/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Bruce Herbold/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Laura Fujii/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 08/03/2011 09:34 AM
Subject: State-Federal-Interagency Principals Meeting Agenda

here's the latest BDCP EIS schedule. Note that during next March and April, we will need to be reviewing the Admin Draft. This will overlap with the timeframe for review of the Effects Analysis "roll-up".

At some point (Erin - this can wait till you get back), we should work with the Corps on overlaying the specific 404 analysis needs and their schedule (like JD, 404b1, mitigation plan). Even with the MOU, I don't think its clear to all how the different processes relate. (for instance, Tom and Michelle, DWR's attn, just had a discussion about the EIS Alternatives Analysis versus the 404b1 Alternatives Analysis.)

[attachment "EIREIS Completion Dates rev. 08-2-11 v5.pdf" deleted by Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US]